

# L1: Dealing with Reverse Causation: Simultaneous Equation Modelling

Prof Gwilym Pryce

AQIM Training

June 2006

# Introduction

- Social Science Statistics I & II:
  - We have assumed only one dependent variable,  $Y$ , and any number of independent variables,  $X$ :
$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2$$
- We have assumed that  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  etc. cause  $Y$ .
  - In actual fact, causation is very difficult to prove empirically, but often our theory makes the direction of causation fairly clear.
    - E.g. “*your income at age 30 is partly determined by your gender*”
      - The causation is unlikely to run the other way:
      - If your income changes, your gender is unlikely to change.
    - E.g. “*your income is partly determined by your age*”
      - The causation is unlikely to run the other way.
      - If your income changes, your age will not change.

- Q1/ In your own research, what is the dependent variable? What are the determinants?
- Q2/ Is there scope for ‘reverse causation’:
  - I.e. one of your explanatory variables actually being affected by the dependent variable
- Q3/ Can you think of any other situations where you might have two or more variables being simultaneously determined by each other and by the other variables in the model?

## 2. Systems of Equations

- Where we have more than dependent variable, we need to write a system of equations:
  - These are called the “structural equations”

- For example,

$$Y_1 = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3Y_2$$

$$Y_2 = c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_3 + c_3Y_1$$

- Where:

- $Y_1, Y_2$  are the dependent variables or “endogenous” (i.e. determined within the model).
- $X_1, X_2, X_3$  are the independent variables, or “exogenous” variables (i.e. determined outside the model).
- You ‘model’ is a multiple equation system.

- Q/ How might a theory in your own field be represented in this way?
  - I.e. in the two equation system,
$$Y_1 = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3Y_2$$
$$Y_2 = c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_3 + c_3Y_1$$
  - replace the “Xs” and “Ys” with real variable names.

# Employee Loyalty Example:

$$\text{Loyalty} = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3\text{Tenure} \quad [1]$$

$$\text{Tenure} = c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_3 + c_3\text{Loyalty} \quad [2]$$

- Where  $X_1 = \text{income}$ ,  $X_2 = \text{gender}$ ,  $X_3 = \text{education}$ .
  - Might there be a case for arguing for a 3 equation system here?

### 3. What happens if we try to estimate the parameters directly?

- Suppose we are most interested in  $b_2$ , the effect of gender on employee loyalty.
- What happens if we try to estimate this relationship as a single equation system?
  - e.g. run a regression of  $L$  on  $X_1, X_2, X_3$ ?

- If we try to run a regression on [1] without taking any account of [2]:
  - The coefficients we get from the regression output will actually be a mixture of all the other coefficients.
  - To see this we need to do some algebra:
- Q/ What do you get if you solve equation [1] in terms of L?
  - I.e. substitute [2] in [1] and collect terms.



# Answer:

$$L = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3T \quad [1]$$

$$T = c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_3 + c_3L \quad [2]$$

Substitute expression for T from equation [2] into [1]:

$$L = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3(c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_3 + c_3L)$$

Expand the term on the RHS:

$$L = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3c_0 + b_3c_1X_1 + b_3c_2X_3 + b_3c_3L$$

Collect terms on the RHS:

$$L = (b_0 + b_3c_0) + (b_1 + b_3c_1)X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3c_2X_3 + b_3c_3L$$

Now write in terms of L:

$$(1 - b_3c_3)L = (b_0 + b_3c_0) + (b_1 + b_3c_1)X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3c_2X_3$$

$$L = \frac{(b_0 + b_3c_0)}{(1 - b_3c_3)} + \frac{(b_1 + b_3c_1)}{(1 - b_3c_3)}X_1 + \frac{b_2}{(1 - b_3c_3)}X_2 + \frac{b_3c_2}{(1 - b_3c_3)}X_3$$

# This is called the Reduced Form equation for L:

I.e. Endogenous variable written as a function of all the exogenous variables in the system:

$$L = g_0 + g_1 X_1 + g_2 X_2 + g_3 X_3$$

Where:

$$g_0 = (b_0 + b_3 c_0) / (1 - b_3 c_3)$$

$$g_1 = (b_1 + b_3 c_1) / (1 - b_3 c_3) X_1$$

$$g_2 = b_2 / (1 - b_3 c_3) X_2$$

$$g_3 = b_3 c_2 / (1 - b_3 c_3) X_3$$

- So, if we run a regression of L on  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ , the second coefficient would not give an estimate of  $b_2$ :

$$L = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3T \quad [1]$$

- but of  $g_2$ :

$$g_2 = \frac{b_2}{(1 - b_3 c_3)} X_2$$

- I.e. our estimate would be a mixture of the effects from gender, tenure, income and education.
  - The results would be meaningless...
  - Simply adding in T as an extra explanatory variable would confuse things even further.

# Identification problem:

- This is called the *identification problem*
- It arises when our regression results do not allow us to identify the value of the parameter we are seeking to estimate
  - E.g. the impact of gender on employee loyalty.

## 4. Solution:

- There are two things we need to do to make estimate sure our system is ‘identified’:
  - **[A] make sure we have set up the structural equations properly**
    - I.e. we need the right balance of exogenous and endogenous variables in each structural equation
  - **[B] apply an appropriate estimation technique**
    - E.g. 2SLS, 3SLS, MLE.

# [A] setting up the structural equations properly

- You need to check whether the parameters in your system can be identified.
- There are two tests for this:
  - ***Rank Condition:***
    - Tells us an equation is identified or not.
  - ***Order Condition:***
    - Tells us whether the equation is exactly identified or over-identified.
- Ideally, we want our equation to be exactly identified.
  - Often there is only one equation we are really interested in, so it doesn't matter if the other equations are not E.I.

# Rank Condition:

- (i) Write out the equations:

$$L = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3T \quad [1]$$

$$T = c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_3 + c_3L \quad [2]$$

- (ii) Construct a table of exog & endog vars:

Eq.	L	T	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>
[1]	1	1	1	1	0
[2]	1	1	1	0	1

Eq.	L	T	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$
[1]	1	1	1	1	0
[2]	1	1	1	0	1

- **Rank Condition for a particular equation:**
  - a. Highlight the columns for which variables are missing from that equation
    - (I.e. highlight the columns where the zeros are on that row)
  - b. Delete the row relating to the equation in question
  - c. See if you can find  $(g-1)$  rows and columns that are not all zeros, where  $g$  is the number of endogenous variables.
    - If so, the equation is identified (the rank condition for id<sup>n</sup> is satisfied).
    - If not, the equation is not identified (“ “ not satisfied)



Eq.	L	T	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>
[1]	1	1	1	1	0
[2]	1	1	1	0	1

- **Rank Condition for a particular equation:**
  - a. **Highlight the columns for which variables are missing from that equation**
    - (I.e. highlight the columns where the zeros are on that row)
  - b. Delete the row relating to the equation in question
  - c. See if you can find  $(g-1)$  rows and columns that are not all zeros, where  $g$  is the number of endogenous variables.
    - If so, the equation is identified (the rank condition for id<sup>n</sup> is satisfied).
    - If not, the equation is not identified (“ “ not satisfied)

Eq.	L	T	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>
[1]	1	1	1	1	0
[2]	1	1	1	0	1

- **Rank Condition for a particular equation:**
  - a. Highlight the columns for which variables are missing from that equation
    - (I.e. highlight the columns where the zeros are on that row)
  - b. Delete the row relating to the equation in question**
  - c. See if you can find  $(g-1)$  rows and columns that are not all zeros, where  $g$  is the number of endogenous variables.
    - If so, the equation is identified (the rank condition for id<sup>n</sup> is satisfied).
    - If not, the equation is not identified (“ “ not satisfied)

Eq.	L	T	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$
[1]	1	1	1	1	0
[2]	1	1	1	0	1

- **Rank Condition for a particular equation:**
  - a. Highlight the columns for which variables are missing from that equation
    - (I.e. highlight the columns where the zeros are on that row)
  - b. Delete the row relating to the equation in question
  - c. See if you can find  $(g-1)$  rows and columns that are not all zeros, where  $g$  is the number of endogenous variables.
    - $g = 2$ , so  $g - 1 = 1$ . Of the highlighted columns, can we find at least 1 row and column that is not all zeros?
      - Yes, so equation [1] meets the rank condition for identification.
- **Q/What about equation [2]?**

Eq.	L	T	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>
[1]	1	1	1	1	0
[2]	1	1	1	0	1

- **Rank Condition for a particular equation:**
  - a. Highlight the columns for which variables are missing from that equation
    - (I.e. highlight the columns where the zeros are on that row)
  - b. Delete the row relating to the equation in question
  - c. See if you can find  $(g-1)$  rows and columns that are not all zeros, where  $g$  is the number of endogenous variables.
    - If so, the equation is identified (the rank condition for id<sup>n</sup> is satisfied).
    - If not, the equation is not identified (“ “ not satisfied)

# Order Condition:

- Let  $g$  be the number of endogenous variables
- Let  $k$  be the total number of variables (endogenous and exogenous) **missing** from the equation under consideration
- Then:
  - 1. If  $k = g-1$ , the equation is exactly identified
  - 2. If  $k > g-1$ , the equation is over-identified
  - 3. If  $k < g-1$ , the equation is under-identified.
- Q/ Establish whether the order condition is satisfied for equation [1] and for equation [2]:  
$$L = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3T \quad [1]$$
$$T = c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_3 + c_3L \quad [2]$$

# Order Condition for Equation [1]:

$g=2$

$$L = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3T \quad [1]$$

$$T = c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_3 + c_3L \quad [2]$$

- For equation 1,  $k = \text{no. of missing vars} = 1$ 
  - So  $k = 1 = g - 1$ 
    - I.e. equation [1] is *exactly identified*
- For equation [2],  $k = \text{no. of missing vars} = 1$ 
  - So  $k = 1 = g - 1$ 
    - I.e. equation [2] is *exactly identified*

# Solutions:

- Since equation [1] is exactly identified,
  - we can apply 2 Stage Least Squares to estimate  $b_2$ , the effect of gender on employee loyalty.
  - It doesn't matter whether equation [2] is identified since we are not interested in those parameters.

# 2SLS

- Stage 1:
  - Estimate the reduced form equations by OLS and obtain the predicted values for the endogenous variables.
- Stage 2:
  - Replace the right-hand-side endogenous variables with these predicted values and estimate the equation by OLS.



# 2SLS estimation of Equation [1]:

$$L = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3T \quad [1]$$

$$T = c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_3 + c_3L \quad [2]$$

- Stage 1: Obtain  $T^{\text{hat}}$  from reduced form regression:

```
REGRESSION /DEPENDENT T /METHOD=ENTER X1 X2 X3 /SAVE PRED(That).
```

- Stage 2: Replace T with  $T^{\text{hat}}$ :

```
REGRESSION /DEPENDENT L /METHOD=ENTER X1 X2 That.
```

- The coefficient from this regression for  $X_2$  should be a reliable measure of  $b_2$ , the impact of gender on employee loyalty.

# Other Solutions:

- There are more sophisticated solutions:
  - 3 stage least squares
  - Full information max likelihood
- But these methods don't usually offer much of an improvement on 2SLS and are v. complicated.

# Summary:

- First ask whether there is more than one dependent (“endogenous”) variable
- If so, there are two things we need to do to make estimate sure our system is ‘identified’:
  - **[A] set up an appropriate system of structural equations**
    - I.e. we need the right balance of exogenous and endogenous variables in each structural equation
    - Run the *Rank* and *Order* tests for identification.
  - **[B] apply an appropriate estimation technique**
    - 2SLS:
      - 1. Get predicted RHS endogenous variables from reduced form.
      - 2. Include these predicted values on RHS of the equation of interest.

# Reading:

- Kennedy, P., ch. 10
- Maddala, G. S. (1992) “Introductory Econometrics”, ch. 9.
- Example:
  - Pryce, G. (1999) 'Construction Elasticities and Land Availability: A Two Stage Least Squares Model of Housing Supply Using the Variable Elasticity Approach', *Urban Studies*, 36(13), pp 2283-2304.